

Addressing risk and uncertainty in decision-making

In Aotearoa New Zealand, we do not have recommended best practices for marine risk assessments. Most processes do not support cumulative effects assessments, the needs and aspirations of Māori, or ecosystem-based management.

Uncertainty and lack of data are often viewed as obstacles to addressing cumulative effects – compounding and overlapping stressors that degrade the marine ecosystem. Cumulative effects need to be addressed to meet the requirements of marine legislation and policy.

Decision-making tools that can communicate risk (including indirect effects) and the degree of uncertainty associated with a particular decision are urgently needed. We've developed a new method of addressing risk and uncertainty to help better manage our marine ecosystem.

About this document

This summary recommends a new process for addressing risk and uncertainty in decision-making and is supported by research from the Sustainable Seas National Science Challenge.

For more information, please see our full guidance document and the references and resources listed at the end of this summary.

Recommendations – follow a process that supports fit-for-purpose decision-making

We recommend a more standardised best practice risk assessment process to account for broader values, multiple activities and stressors, and cumulative effects. Specifically, we recommend the following three important steps.

- » Follow a reflective and participatory process to build a shared understanding of differences in people's desired outcomes and how they perceive risk – include 'risk to what' and 'why'.
- » Carefully consider the right risk assessment method to support decision-making – many risk assessment methods constrain assessments and outcomes.
- » Consider uncertainty explicitly in risk assessments. The greater the level of uncertainty, the more important it is for iwi and stakeholders to participate in analysing risk. Uncertainty should not just be presented for the most likely outcome but for its opposite outcome as well.

Follow a reflective, participatory process

Our recommended process for setting up risk assessments, is a reflective, participatory process where decision-makers can fully understand and record what constraints are being applied to an assessment.

This process includes understanding your own and the worldviews of others and reflecting on 'who is at the table' and 'what do they bring with them' (Figure 1).

A participatory approach is better placed to support cumulative effects assessment, te ao Māori aspirations, blue economy activities, and ecosystem-based management.



WORLDVIEWS

Generally I think these things are risky, but those things don't bother me, in terms of how I understand the world

DISCIPLINES

I was taught to think about risk in this way, so that means risk must be this and not that

POSITIONALITY

My experiences and context affect what I think is risky

BUT often I don't realise I'm thinking these things in the background. If I was aware - maybe it would help have productive conversations with others, instead of wondering why they don't see it the same way as I do?

INVISIBLE NATURE OF THE THREE FACTORS

RISK and UNCERTAINTY are perceived relative to worldviews

DOMINANT SOCIAL PARADIGM

bountiful world for resource extraction

- * limited government
- * private property rights
- * science + technology are value-free
- * economic growth + progress
- * abundant resources

... worldviews shape thinking ...

... often unacknowledged (or unrecognised) ...

NEW ENVIRONMENTAL PARADIGM

nature as a limited resource conservationist

- * democracy not experts participatory structures
- * science + technology are value-laden
- * nature is a fine balance
- * humans should live in harmony with nature
- * nature a limited resource to protect

... often implicit ...

... this is how the world should be ...

... behind understanding of contexts ...

TE AD MĀORI

relational environmental approach

- * decisions for interconnected networks social/ecology/spiritual
- * driven by outcomes for mutual + intergenerational benefit of ecosystem + kinship networks
- * concepts of -recognise mana -respect tapu -ensure mauri
- * practised through core principles -kaitiakitanga -manaakitanga -whakapapa

... this is what is important (obviously!) ...

... behind which decisions get made ...

WORLDVIEWS

Ask: What worldviews do I identify with?

Then: What risks make sense in my worldview?

What risks make sense for other worldviews?

How have you been taught to understand risk?

Law. Has it been done before?



what is case law?

Economy.



How much will it cost to fix?

Ecology.



what might happen to the ecosystem?

disciplinary training shapes perceptions of risk + questions asked

DISCIPLINES

Ask: How have I been taught to understand risk through my education and training?

Then: How does this affect the way I think about what is risky?

What might people who have been trained in different disciplines think is risky?

Risk perception depends on where you stand



situated knowledge of experience in place

POSITIONALITY

Ask: What positionalities do I occupy now and at other times?

Then: How does where I stand affect my perception or risk?

What might other people think is risky because of their situation?

Figure 1 Worldviews, disciplines, and positionality influence perceptions of risk and uncertainty

Carefully consider the right risk assessment method for your decision-making

Risk generally refers to the likelihood that some event with undesirable consequences will occur. Assessment of how likely the event occurring is, and the severity of the consequences, are usually accompanied by some uncertainty.

Risk assessments are a practical method for marine law and policy decision-makers to better consider the cumulative impacts of their management decisions across time and space.

We provide guidance on choosing fit-for-purpose risk assessments from existing methods in our full guidance document. We've also developed a new tool based on ecological principles. These processes focus on assessing the risks of management actions when data is limited and is particularly useful for considering cumulative effects and actions to help with recovery. This process can also be used as a scoping or screening tool for more detailed risk assessments.

We've also created a decision tree to select an appropriate risk assessment method or tool (Guide 5, Sustainable Seas 2023). The risk assessment methods we recommend can be used at local to national scales and allow transparency about the uncertainties attached to the level of risk and whether the actions assessed will successfully support desired outcomes.

Adopt a hierarchical framework for choosing a risk assessment method based on the complexity of the risk assessment needed. Methods should support iwi and stakeholder participation in the building of risk assessment models and consider a range of ecological, cultural, social and economic outcomes and drivers. The methods we recommend use many different knowledge types.

The methods we recommend can be used:

- within statutory and non-statutory marine decision-making processes to ensure that decisions are based on all relevant information
- to formalise presently informal advice on risks given by government agencies
- by consultants and businesses generating social and environmental risk assessments.

Consider uncertainty explicitly

While uncertainty is considered extensively across a range of literatures and conceptualised separately to risk in western disciplines, whakaaro Māori does not separate uncertainty from risk.

How people respond to uncertainty depends on how uncertainty is presented. For example, medicine often presents '1 in 4 New Zealanders will have cancer' rather than 3 out of 4 people won't. To avoid bias, it's important to present both sides: 'there is an 80% chance that this action will prevent any further degradation' should be balanced with 'there is a 20% chance that this action will result in further degradation'.

Avoid holding up risk assessments and management decisions because of a lack of 'perfect' data. The risk assessment methods and tools we recommend allow you to be transparent about uncertainty.

Why a new risk assessment process was needed

Guidance was urgently needed on risk assessment methods that could communicate the risk of multiple activities and cumulative effects, as well as the degree of uncertainty associated with different management actions – whether that be inaction, allowing new activities, or reducing stressors.

Most risk assessment methods and processes currently in use in Aotearoa New Zealand do not consider differing worldviews and desired outcomes, nor do most operate well in a world of cumulative impacts from multiple activities and sparse numeric data.

What did we do?

We reviewed analytical tools and processes currently used in Aotearoa New Zealand to support risk assessments and decision making.

We created a 'how to guide' to bring people together to discuss risks and decision-making. The guide included a questionnaire to help people identify worldviews associated with risk.

We matched tools to requirements and developed a decision tree to select fit-for-purpose tools. The best tools were tested in different scenarios, including:

- conservation spatial planning in the EEZ
- iwi restoration efforts in Ōhiwa Harbour
- blue economy principles fisheries risk.



Definitions

Risk can be defined in numerous ways but generally refers to the likelihood that some event with undesirable consequences will occur. Assessment of both how likely the event occurring is, and the severity of the consequences, are usually accompanied by some uncertainty. Generally, the risk of an ecological shift increases under cumulative pressures, and this should be coupled with management interventions (Gladstone-Gallagher et al 2024).

A social definition of perceptions of risk is; *'the way that individuals (institutions, communities, groups, iwi and hapū) understand and expect to experience the impact/implications of an event or change or action to/on something they value (eg a place or activity, or relationship) or a desired future outcome'* (Le Heron et al, 2024).

References

- Blackett P et al (2023). **Understanding and communicating risk and uncertainty in marine management/ te turaru me te haukuoku: A framework and guidance document.** Sustainable Seas National Science Challenge.
- Clark D, Gladstone-Gallagher R, Stephenson F, & Ellis J (2021). **A review of risk assessment frameworks for use in marine ecosystem-based management (EBM) in Aotearoa New Zealand.** Sustainable Seas National Science Challenge.
- Foley M, Lundquist CJ, Couzens G, & Davies K K (2019). **The implications of uncertainty on cumulative effects management.** Resource Management Journal: p22-27
- Gladstone-Gallagher et al (2024a). **An ecological principles-based approach to guide coastal environmental management.** Sustainable Seas National Science Challenge.
- Gladstone-Gallagher R, Hewitt J, Low J, Pilditch C, Stephenson F, Thrush S, & Ellis J (2024b). **Coupling marine ecosystem state with environmental management and conservation: A risk-based approach.** Biological Conservation 292: 110516
- Hewitt J E, Ellis J I, & Thrush S F (2016). **Multiple stressors, nonlinear effects and the implications of climate change impacts on marine coastal ecosystems.** Global Change Biology 22: 2665-2675
- Holsman K, Samhuri J, Cook G, Hazen E, Olsen E, Dillard M, Kasperski S, Gaichas S, Kelble C R, Fogarty M, & Andrews K (2017) **An ecosystem-based approach to marine risk assessment.** Ecosystem Health and Sustainability 3: e01256
- Inglis G, Soliman T, & Djanibekov U (2018). **Tools for risk assessment under uncertainty. NIWA client report number 2020259CH.** National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, Christchurch, New Zealand
- Le Heron E, Le Heron R, Awatere S, Blackett P, Logie J, & Hyslop J (2024). **He Uiui Aromatawai Tūraru: Guidance for 'risky' and uncertain resource use decision-making in Aotearoa.** New Zealand Geographer.
- Link J, Ihde T, Harvey C, Gaichas S, Field J C, Brodziak J, Townsend H, & Peterman RM (2012). **Dealing with uncertainty in ecosystem models: The paradox of use for living marine resource management.** Progress in Oceanography 102: 102-114
- Low J, Gladstone-Gallagher R, Hewitt J, Pilditch C, Ellis J, & Thrush S (2023). **Using Ecosystem Response footprints to guide environmental management priorities.** Ecosystem Health and Sustainability 9: 0115
- Macpherson E, Jorgensen E, Paul A, Rennie H, & Fisher K (2023). **Designing law and policy for the health and resilience of marine and coastal ecosystems – Lessons from (and for) Aotearoa New Zealand.** Ocean Development & International Law, 54(2): 200-252
- Sustainable Seas National Science Challenge (2023). Quick guides: Navigating risk and uncertainty in marine management. sustainableseaschallenge.co.nz/tools-and-resources/quick-guides-risk-and-uncertainty
- Sustainable Seas National Science Challenge (2024). Addressing cumulative effects in marine management decisions. sustainableseaschallenge.co.nz/tools-and-resources/addressing-cumulative-effects-in-marine-management-decisions

Contact information

Judi Hewitt / judi.hewitt@auckland.ac.nz

This document was prepared by Joanne Ellis. We thank Challenge researchers and co-development partners for participating in workshops and reviewing drafts that informed the content.

For more information and support with marine management decisions, please see our other synthesis project summaries and guidance documents in this series.