Sustainable Seas Annual Meeting

Improving how people's values are included and considered in marine decision-making: Insights from the Marlborough Sounds

Jim Sinner & Charlotte Šunde

2-3 May 2017, Wellington

Research team

Bruce Glavović, Massey University Charlotte Šunde, Cawthron Shaun Awatere, Landcare Research Janet Stephenson, Univ of Otago Nick Lewis, University of Auckland Kai Chan, Univ of British Columbia Kevin St Martin, Rutgers University

0

Jim Sinner, Cawthron Institute Annabelle Giorgetti, Enveco Marc Tadaki, Univ of British Columi

with: Harvey Ruru, Te Ātiawa neika Young, Cawthron & Tiakina te Taiao



Highlight words in graphic:

"Develop ways to include social, environmental, spiritual and cultural values of NZ's marine ecosystems, as well as economic values, in decision-making..."



Original project aim – to develop frameworks and principles for the consideration of diverse values in statutory decisions about the marine environment.

Not trying to develop a completely new framework – some major international efforts undertaken in the past decade to do that, with dozens of researchers and millions of dollars. We are trying to understand how these different frameworks might apply to NZ context, and what principles we could recommend to enable a fuller understanding of Nzers diverse values, to support EBM.

The first phase - understand how values are currently identified and considered in statutory decision-making about the marine environment.

Have started by talking to 'values-holders' in a case study area where a wide range of values have been contested under several different statutes – we chose the Marlborough Sounds – Fisheries Act (blue cod; customary fisheries areas) RMA (aquaculture and placement of salmon farms; fast ferries)

DOC (marine reserves; marine mammals)

Today we're going to tell you about what we've heard from people in the Marlb

Sounds about their experiences of trying to present and protect their values through statutory decision processes, and how we will then build on this to identify valuation frameworks and principles.

Hand over to Charlotte Šunde – who will tell you about a series of interviews that she did.







Quotes – process issues

"You shouldn't have to stand up in front of a judge or have submitters standing up in front of lawyers... the RMA ... was set up to allow mum and dad to come and argue their case. And it's been overtaken by lawyers; it's gotten out of hand a bit... It's created another industry, really."

SCIENCE

- Onerous amount of evidence
- Respond to information in short time frames
- · Formality of the processes is intimidating
- · Lawyers and cross-examination is intimidating
- Expensive (ELA funding)
- Emotional impact and stress

Quotes – process issues

"...if we didn't have friendly lawyers who are working for us, retired and working for nothing, we wouldn't be in the game – nobody would!"

SCIENCE

- Onerous amount of evidence
- Respond to information in short time frames
- Formality of the processes is intimidating
- · Lawyers and cross-examination is intimidating
- Expensive (ELA funding)
- Emotional impact and stress



- Legal arguments should be based on scientific facts, not emotions
- Need for a complete set of data all sectors to contribute data
- Local knowledge accumulated over decades of direct first-hand experience
- Access to independent 'public science'
- Weakening of democratic rights for communities
- Cumulative effects, including from land-based activities

Quotes – evidential issues

"The amenity issues—because that's how it's phrased in the RMA ... the reasons why we live or recreate here — the amenity issues never carry the same weight as economics or science... Getting that stuff down [on paper] is really hard and I don't think it's given enough credence, to be fair. But open to abuse, too, potentially."

SCIENCE

- Legal arguments should be based on scientific facts, not emotions
- Need for a complete set of data all sectors to contribute data
- Local knowledge accumulated over decades of direct first-hand experience
- Access to independent 'public science'
- Weakening of democratic rights for communities
- · Cumulative effects, including from land-based activities



In planning a workshop with iwi and stakeholders to discuss what we heard in the interviews, we decided it would be appropriate to engage first with the tangata whenua, recognising that a workshop setting with a range of participants is not necessarily the best way to enable tangata whenua to share their experiences and concerns.

So, for the day prior to the workshop, we organised a hikoi, a journey, onto Pelorus Sound, led by Ngāti Kuia and with two other iwi, Ngāti Koata and Te Ātiawa.

Also joined for the three days by two international experts: Kai Chan, Inst of Resources, Environment & Sustainability at UBC Kevin St Martin, Department of Geography at Rutgers University, New Jersey USA



Started the day with a powhiri at Te Hora marae in Canvastown, at which Ngāti Kuia warmly welcomed us to their rohe.

Our hikoi took us from Havelock at the bottom of Pelorus Sound out to Waitata Reach, the site of two existing salmon farm consents and a proposed site for an additional salmon farm.

[click to show yellow oval around Marlborough Sounds, then click again to show route]





Photo epitomises the multiple use environment of the Marlborough Sounds -

- Open water in foreground
- mussel farm and baches in centre
- bush, pine forest and pasture



Results of forestry operations visible in background



Seal in foreground on orange mussel buoy,

Salmon farm in centre,

Farmland in background showing bare patches.



Members of research team engaged with tangata whenua



Members of research team engaged with tangata whenua



And we sampled some of the local kai, which served to highlight not only the commercial value that is being produced in the Marlborough Sounds, but also the very strong connection that Māori have with kaimoana.

Shaun certainly enjoyed the mussels!



Following our hikoi, we travelled to Waikawa, next to Picton, where we were welcomed onto Waikawa marae by Te Ātiawa.

We learned about the history of the wharenui, which was unusual in having carvings of the eight major waka that brought Maori to Aotearoa, and representations of many other people from around NZ, including Captain James Cook.

For me, this highlighted that the history of Te Ātiawa and of this place has been shaped by both Māori and Pakeha and the interactions between these two peoples and cultures.



The following day we had a workshop in Picton with 11 iwi, MS residents and stakeholder representatives, and 12 from the research team.

Next slide: workshop aim.

THE INCLUSION AND CONSIDERATION OF Workshop aim DIVERSE VALUES IN DECISION-MAKING ~ MARINE ~. Iwi, stakeholders and 1. WHAT NEEDS TO researchers working together HAPPEN / CHANGE ? to generate ideas ... 2. HOW WILL YOU KNOW THAT YOUR VALUES HAVE BEEN for improving consideration 'INCLUDED' IN of values in decision-making DECISION - MAKING ? HOW would you change things ? If I had control of decision-making processes SCIENCE SUSTAINABLE I would . . .

| CONSIDER THORATA HIPFING WINT + BRINGEN WINT + BRINGEN WIN | Workshop 1. What is working well to enable inclusion and consideration of people's values in decision- making? |
|--|---|
| | National SCIENCE Challenges SEAS Ko nga meana wrodawia |

| central govt political intervention (For votee) | To A CONSER Parciantentaky Toon and Court Cat Toka | EMERALOR UNLARS ABOUT PROCESS Net any antanes | Understanding Proges: desit nemensan environmental degoalation | Workshop |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Data reflecting lives And livelihoods | Common/accepted information source. For decision making | MORE Gaut \$\$ | STOP THE DILUTION OF DEMOCRACY | 2. What would you change to improve inclusion and consideration of people's values? |
| NARD TO DRAFEL RELIETE IN (V INSTITUTIONS FOR SECURING) Associate PAINATE Realest Rights | DIN'T SEPARATE FROTS & VALVES IN COMPLEX PUBLIC DECISION | Decisions una mute on PUBLIC Broception (ather dian the full understanding Offician seeded at originar | NOT ATTACHTAG | |
| "DEFENDING HY TURF" "To ke Rasponsholity, tor my impact" | For all user of the ensround To vork touch to -rever the environment with Got Spreat Regional a Unitional | OPENING UP TRULY' to other VIEWPOINTS | Councils Should Be Proactive IN Protecting The Public Interest | |
| Shill for "adversaria" approach in and at nore and "ingerig" | PREVENT INSTITUTIONNUZE CORRUPTION | D (NEDIATION) MORE CONSTRUCT STREETIVE WAY | INTELLEDING | |
| Disantisen v pelek beharen portes, be Gente filmiger geb | Gre Mine Terreto | The role | of ans as y-elected | |
| SATE SPACE T SHARE DIVERSE VIEWS / ASTRATIONS | | Sp dawn 'n latern wy" - Loppetry Maniphano Appartation d Mass in OM | no is place | |
| The second second second second | | обър соварно общани страница. Наподална страница Наподална страница страница страница страница страница страница страница страница страница с | | National SCIENCE Challenges SEAS Konglemoens wratasuka |



Examples under each of the themes

Politics and democracy

Set of guidelines to protect democracy and Treaty of Waitangi Give rights to nature, e.g., Whanganui River

(Property) rights and responsibility

Align responsibilities with property rights (including customary rights)

Collaboration

The results of collaboration must be reflected in the final decision

Information and data Need shared/common source of authoritative information

More open and inviting process

"Friend of submitter" to help those unfamiliar with legal process



...from the interviews + the three days embedded in place with people of that place Insights:

Insights and observations

- Disempowerment by colonisation, now by Wellington
- Desire by Marlborough Sounds residents to control their own destiny
- Decision processes seen as unfair, undemocratic



Desire for science in the public interest, not purchased by competing parties



This all raises the question, what constitute a better decision process?

Is it based on 'objective science'?

Is it a decision that delivers Ecosystem Based Management? And if that is what we are after, how is that assessed? (and perhaps more importantly, by whom?)



As scientists we are taught to remove emotion and personal preferences and experience from our work, but can we really understand and acknowledge the values of people and place unless we open ourselves to their experiences and emotions?

Enduring sense from our work so far is that the problem is not so much what framework to use to identify and analyse values, but rather what are appropriate roles for grounded experience, science and politics in mediating the discourse between diverse and competing values.





Thank you!

From our research team (with our Cawthron kaumatua and kaiawhina, at Te Ātiawa marae)